Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/678,860	GREIFENEDER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	USMAAN SAEED	2166	

All Participants:

(1) *Usmaan Saeed (PTO)*.

(2) *Scott S. Kokka Reg No. 51,893*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *20 January 2010*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
6, 21, and 24

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
A telephone call was made to applicant's representative about the potential amendment in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the examiner's proposal and gave authorization for examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Usmaan Saeed/
Examiner, Art Unit 2166

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)